



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/050,341	10/050,341 01/16/2002 Christ		1406/39	6236		
25297 75	90 04/06/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER			
	(LSON & TAYLOR, P. A	KIM, KEN	KIM, KENNETH S			
3100 TOWER I SUITE 1400	BLVD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
DURHAM, NC 27707			2111	2111		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/050,341		PANIS, CHRISTIAN			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Kenneth S K	M	2111			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail- and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 136(a). In no event, eply within the statutor d will apply and will extended the application.	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) day: cpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 March 2005</u> .							
/—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,—	to the secretarian						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exami		_		•		
 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/er No(s)/Mail Date		1) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	ГО-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/050,341

Art Unit: 2111

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on *March* 7, 2005 has been entered.

- 1. Claims 1-7 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (a) Claim 3, the context of calculating address is not clear. The relationship with any other limitation is not clear.
- (b) Claims 3 and 5, "the further command" lacks antecedent basis.
- (c) Claims 4, 6, and 7, "the further processors" lacks antecedent basis.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 2111

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsuo, U.S. Patent No. 6,484,253, cited in the previous office action.

Matsuo teaches the invention as claimed in claim 1 including a data processing method using a multiplicity of processors (fig. 54; corresponding to 929, 930, 931, and 932) which operate in parallel (col. 48, line 5) and to which a respective command for data processing is supplied simultaneously, at least one of the processors being alternatively supplied with a condition command (col. 48, lines 14 and 18), the supplying of the condition command conditioning (col. 48, lines 18-23) the parallel execution of all of the commands for data processing, and

further teaches as in claims 2-7,

- (a) wherein the supplying of the condition command has the effect that the computation result of one of the processors is not written back into a target register (col. 49, line 6) claim 2,
- (b) wherein the supplying of the condition command has the effect that an address is not computed (any type of arithmetic computation) claim 3,

Art Unit: 2111

- (c) wherein the supplying of the condition command has the effect that a command is not executed by at least one of the further processors (col. 49, line 20) claim 4
- (d) wherein the further command comprises arithmetic computation command or move command (any type of commands) claim 5,
- (e) wherein the condition which is associated with the condition command is the same or different for all the further processors (can be any combination; col. 49, lines 20 and 25) claims 6 and 7.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Minagawa et al taught a method of aborting instructions executed in parallel.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

April 4, 2005

KENNÉTH S. KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER